



ORE RESEARCH & EXPLORATION PTY LTD

6-8 Gatwick Drive, Bayswater North, Vic 3153 AUSTRALIA

Telephone: 61-3-9729 0333 Facsimile: 61-3-9729 4777

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS FOR

Au-Ag-Cu ORE REFERENCE MATERIAL

OREAS 68a

SUMMARY STATISTICS

Constituent (ppm)	Certified Value	1SD	95% Confidence Interval	
			Low	High
Gold, Au	3.89	0.15	3.82	3.95
Silver, Ag	42.9	1.7	42.1	43.7
Copper, Cu	392	15	384	400

Prepared by:

ORE Research & Exploration Pty Ltd

August 2009

INTRODUCTION

OREAS reference materials (RM) are intended to provide a low cost method of evaluating and improving the quality of precious and base metal analysis of geological samples. To the explorationist, they provide an important control in analytical data sets related to exploration from the grass roots level through to resource definition. To the mine geologist, they provide a tool for grade control in routine mining operations. To the analyst, they provide an effective means of calibrating analytical equipment, assessing new techniques and routinely monitoring in-house procedures.

SOURCE MATERIALS

OREAS 68a was prepared from gold-silver ore from Martabe, North Sumatra, Indonesia blended with fresh alkali olivine basalt from the Newer Volcanics Province, Victoria, Australia. Martabe is an epithermal high sulphidation gold deposit hosted by a sequence of tertiary volcanic and sedimentary rocks near a fault splay of the Great Sumatran Fault complex. The approximate major and trace element composition of OREAS 68a is given in Table 1. The constituents SiO₂ to Total are the means of duplicate XRF analyses determined using a borate fusion method, S and C are means of duplicate IR combustion furnace analyses, while the remaining constituents, Ag to Zr, are means of duplicate 4-acid digestion ICP-MS analyses (except for Pb where ICP-OES was used).

Gold homogeneity has been evaluated and confirmed by instrumental neutron activation analysis (INAA) on twenty 0.5 gram sample portions and by a nested ANOVA program on the fire assay data. The tolerance interval for Au is determined from the INAA data while the certified value and confidence interval are based on the fire assay results of a round robin program incorporating a total of 134 analyses at 19 laboratories.

COMMUNITION AND HOMOGENISATION PROCEDURES

OREAS 68a was prepared in the following manner:

- a) *jaw crushing to minus 3mm;*
- b) *drying to constant mass at 105°C;*
- c) *multi-stage milling of the ore component to 100% minus 30 microns;*
- d) *multi-stage milling of the basalt component to 100% minus 75 microns;*
- e) *blending and bagging into 25kg sublots;*
- f) *packaging into 60g units in laminated foil pouches and 1kg units in plastic jars*

ANALYSIS OF OREAS 68a

Nineteen laboratories participated in the analytical program to characterise gold and seventeen for silver and copper. They are listed in the section headed 'Participating Laboratories'. To maintain anonymity these laboratories have been randomly designated the letter codes A through T. With the exception of Laboratory T each laboratory received two scoop-split 100 gram subsamples from each of three 1kg test units (6 samples total) taken at regular intervals during the bagging stage (20 test units in total). For each sample labs were requested to carry out one 30-50 gram fire assay determination for gold (with new pots) and one 4-acid digest determination for silver and copper using their preferred finish. The nested design of the interlaboratory programme is amenable to analysis of variance (ANOVA) and enables a comparative assessment of within- and between-unit homogeneity (see 'ANOVA study' section).

Table 1. Approximate major and trace element composition of gold-bearing reference material OREAS 68a; wt.% - weight percent; ppm - parts per million.

Constituent	wt.%	Constituent	ppm	Constituent	ppm	Constituent	ppm
SiO ₂	77.9	Ag	42.5	Gd	2.5	Sb	135
TiO ₂	0.71	As	467	Hf	2.0	Sc	8
Al ₂ O ₃	6.59	Ba	1280	Ho	0.38	Sm	3.00
Fe ₂ O ₃	6.39	Be	0.5	In	1.16	Sn	20
MnO	0.03	Bi	28.4	La	15.6	Sr	313
MgO	1.1	Cd	0.5	Li	18.5	Ta	0.3
CaO	1.42	Ce	29.9	Lu	0.22	Tb	0.3
Na ₂ O	0.53	Co	15	Mo	7.5	Te	31.9
K ₂ O	0.22	Cs	0.55	Nb	5.8	Th	5.0
P ₂ O ₅	0.116	Cu	400	Nd	14.3	U	2
LOI	4.26	Dy	1.83	Ni	39	W	7.0
Total	103.18	Er	1.13	Pb	240	Y	9
C	0.03	Eu	0.85	Pr	4	Yb	1.28
S	1.56	Ga	13.2	Rb	6	Zn	65
						Zr	68

For the determination of a statistical tolerance interval, a 10 gram scoop split was taken from each of the twenty test units and submitted to 'Lab T' for gold assay via instrumental neutron activation analysis on a reduced analytical subsample weight of 0.5 gram.

Individual assay results for gold via fire assay and INAA are presented in Tables 2 and 3, respectively, and results for silver and copper are presented in Table 4 and 5, respectively. These results are shown together with the mean, median, standard deviations (absolute and relative) and percent deviation of the lab mean from the corrected mean of means for each data set (PDM³). The analytical methods employed by each laboratory are given in the table captions. For gold, interlaboratory agreement of the fire assay means is very good with all labs lying within 8.6% relative of the corrected mean of means (certified value) of 3.89 ppm Au (except one lab that is at 17.2%). For silver, interlaboratory agreement of the lab means is also very good with 16 labs within 6% relative of the certified value of 42.9 ppm Ag and 1 lab at -9.55% relative of the certified value. For copper interlaboratory agreement of the lab means is good with 15 labs within 6.4% relative of the certified value of 392 ppm Cu and 2 labs at +13.38% and -17.81% relative of the certified value.

Table 2. Analytical results for gold in OREAS 68a (FA - fire assay; AAS - flame atomic absorption spectrometry; OES - inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry; GRAV - gravimetry; Std.Dev. - one sigma standard deviation; Rel.Std.Dev. - one sigma relative standard deviation; PDM³ - percent deviation of lab mean from corrected mean of means; outliers in bold and left justified; sample charge weights shown in row 3; values in ppm).

Replicate No.	Lab A FA*GRAV 30g	Lab B FA*AAS 30g	Lab C FA*AAS 30g	Lab D FA*AAS 25g	Lab E FA*AAS 50g	Lab F FA*AAS 30g	Lab G FA*OES 30g	Lab H FA*AAS 50g	Lab I FA*OES 40g
1	3.62	3.74	4.06	3.97	3.87	3.95	3.83	3.82	3.92
2	3.75	3.77	4.06	3.90	3.94	3.94	3.89	3.92	3.92
3	3.78	3.70	3.93	3.97	3.88	3.88	3.89	3.97	3.88
4	3.82	3.69	4.04	4.00	3.85	4.03	3.83	3.79	3.92
5	3.70	3.76	4.08	3.93	3.88	4.22	3.82	3.83	3.93
6	3.79	3.75	4.04	3.97	3.87	4.07	3.92	3.92	3.94
Mean	3.74	3.73	4.04	3.96	3.88	4.02	3.86	3.88	3.92
Median	3.77	3.74	4.05	3.97	3.88	3.99	3.86	3.88	3.92
Std.Dev.	0.07	0.03	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.12	0.04	0.07	0.02
Rel.Std.Dev.	1.95%	0.88%	1.33%	0.90%	0.79%	3.02%	1.08%	1.84%	0.52%
PDM ³	-3.75%	-4.03%	3.75%	1.74%	-0.19%	3.24%	-0.66%	-0.36%	0.75%

Table 2. Continued

Replicate No.	Lab J FA*AAS 30g	Lab K FA*AAS 30g	Lab L FA*AAS 30g	Lab M FA*AAS 30g	Lab N FA*AAS 30g	Lab O FA*AAS 30g	Lab P FA*AAS 40g	Lab Q FA*AAS 30g	Lab R FA*OES 25g	Lab S FA*AAS 30g
1	4.29	3.97	3.86	3.92	3.65	4.15	3.96	3.80	3.71	3.47
2	4.43	4.00	3.95	3.84	3.72	4.17	3.90	3.81	3.68	3.28
3	4.05	3.90	3.96	3.85	3.63	4.01	3.95	3.72	3.62	3.10
4	4.32	3.86	3.93	3.77	3.65	4.03	3.97	3.72	3.68	3.13
5	4.17	3.89	3.89	3.87	3.64	3.94	3.87	3.69	3.64	3.20
6	4.09	3.95	3.86	3.82	3.63	3.74	3.72	3.71	3.72	3.13
Mean	4.23	3.93	3.91	3.85	3.65	4.01	3.90	3.74	3.68	3.22
Median	4.23	3.93	3.91	3.85	3.65	4.02	3.93	3.72	3.68	3.17
Std.Dev.	0.15	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.03	0.16	0.09	0.05	0.04	0.14
Rel.Std.Dev	3.46%	1.36%	1.14%	1.30%	0.93%	3.92%	2.41%	1.35%	1.06%	4.38%
PDM ³	8.64%	1.01%	0.49%	-1.13%	-6.06%	3.02%	0.15%	-3.79%	-5.51%	-17.23%

Table 3. Analytical results for gold in OREAS 68a by INAA (instrumental neutron activation analysis on 0.5 gram analytical subsample weights; other abbreviations as for Table 2).

Replicate No.	Lab T INAA 0.5g
1	4.05
2	4.05
3	3.96
4	4.09
5	4.01
6	4.07
7	4.06
8	4.02
9	4.04
10	3.93
11	4.02
12	3.96
13	3.92
14	3.95
15	4.01
16	3.97
17	3.95
18	3.97
19	4.03
20	3.94
Mean	4.00
Median	4.01
Std.Dev.	0.05
Rel.Std.Dev.	0.01
PDM ³	2.85%

Table 4. Analytical results for silver in OREAS 68a (4A - four acid digest (HNO₃-HClO₄-HCl-HF); AAS - flame atomic absorption spectrometry; OES - inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry; MS - inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry; other abbreviations as for Table 2; values in ppm).

Replicate No.	Lab A 4A*OES	Lab B 4A*OES	Lab C 4A*MS	Lab D 4A*AAS	Lab E 4A*OES	Lab F 4A*OES	Lab G 4A*OES	Lab H 4A*OES	Lab I 4A*MS
1	42.0	42.0	43.4	42.0	45.4	45.4	40.0	42.3	41.5
2	44.5	42.0	43.3	42.0	45.6	46.2	43.0	42.4	44.0
3	45.2	41.0	43.1	41.0	45.1	43.9	43.0	42.9	44.5
4	44.1	40.0	43.0	41.0	45.5	45.9	43.0	42.3	43.0
5	45.0	40.0	42.7	41.0	45.7	43.2	41.0	43.3	43.0
6	44.6	40.0	42.9	41.0	45.4	45.7	42.0	42.3	44.0
Mean	44.2	40.8	43.1	41.3	45.5	45.1	42.0	42.6	43.3
Median	44.6	40.5	43.1	41.0	45.5	45.6	42.5	42.4	43.5
Std.Dev.	1.2	1.0	0.3	0.5	0.2	1.2	1.3	0.4	1.1
Rel.Std.Dev.	2.62%	2.41%	0.60%	1.25%	0.46%	2.69%	3.01%	0.99%	2.49%
PDM ³	3.09%	-4.84%	0.37%	-3.67%	5.92%	4.99%	-2.12%	-0.76%	0.99%

Table 4. Continued

Replicate No.	Lab J 4A*OES	Lab L 4A*AAS	Lab N 4A*AAS	Lab O 4A*OES	Lab P 4A*OES	Lab Q 4A*OES	Lab R 4A*OES	Lab S 4A*AAS
1	41.1	40.8	43.1	42.1	45.0	42.1	40.5	45.5
2	42.9	40.7	43.8	42.2	46.0	42.4	40.1	35.3
3	40.4	41.3	43.7	42.7	45.0	40.3	40.2	33.9
4	42.9	42.3	43.9	41.6	46.0	42.2	41.0	41.5
5	41.4	41.9	42.4	43.6	44.0	42.2	41.1	42.1
6	42.3	41.3	42.7	43.4	44.0	42.3	40.9	34.6
Mean	41.8	41.4	43.3	42.6	45.0	41.9	40.6	38.8
Median	41.9	41.3	43.4	42.5	45.0	42.2	40.7	38.4
Std.Dev.	1.0	0.6	0.6	0.8	0.9	0.8	0.4	4.9
Rel.Std.Dev.	2.45%	1.50%	1.45%	1.84%	1.99%	1.91%	1.06%	12.50%
PDM ³	-2.51%	-3.56%	0.83%	-0.72%	4.87%	-2.31%	-5.30%	-9.55%

Table 5. Analytical results for copper in OREAS 68a (4A - four acid digest (HNO₃-HClO₄-HCl-HF); AAS - flame atomic absorption spectrometry; OES - inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry; MS - inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry; other abbreviations as for Table 2; values in ppm).

Replicate No.	Lab A 4A*OES	Lab B 4A*OES	Lab C 4A*MS	Lab D 4A*AAS	Lab E 4A*OES	Lab F 4A*OES	Lab G 4A*OES	Lab H 4A*OES	Lab I 4A*OES
1	422	399	372	378	409	375	370	401	400
2	446	396	375	377	411	375	370	395	414
3	454	397	375	373	407	372	380	397	406
4	444	399	374	370	414	377	380	396	406
5	452	400	370	375	416	374	370	396	408
6	446	399	363	369	409	378	370	390	400
Mean	444	398	372	374	411	375	373	396	406
Median	446	399	373	374	410	375	370	396	406
Std.Dev.	11	2	5	4	3	2	5	4	5
Rel.Std.Dev.	2.58%	0.38%	1.24%	0.98%	0.83%	0.57%	1.38%	0.90%	1.30%
PDM ³	13.38%	1.72%	-5.13%	-4.58%	4.96%	-4.19%	-4.66%	1.08%	3.60%

Table 5. Continued

Replicate No.	Lab J 4A*OES	Lab L 4A*AAS	Lab N 4A*AAS	Lab O 4A*OES	Lab P 4A*OES	Lab Q 4A*OES	Lab R 4A*OES	Lab S 4A*AAS
1	396	387	400	381	385	403	402	373
2	412	386	390	383	386	421	400	291
3	392	386	390	381	379	417	401	298
4	420	390	390	376	389	423	400	340
5	396	391	380	393	386	414	403	341
6	408	382	370	393	388	421	405	288
Mean	404	387	387	385	386	417	402	322
Median	402	387	390	382	386	419	402	319
Std.Dev.	11	3	10	7	4	7	2	35
Rel.Std.Dev.	2.73%	0.83%	2.67%	1.81%	0.91%	1.77%	0.48%	10.74%
PDM ³	3.17%	-1.17%	-1.26%	-1.81%	-1.55%	6.36%	2.62%	-17.81%

STATISTICAL EVALUATION OF ANALYTICAL DATA FOR OREAS 68a

Certified Value and Confidence Limits

The certified value was determined from the mean of means of accepted replicate values of accepted laboratory data sets A to T according to the formulae

$$\bar{x}_i = \frac{1}{n_i} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} x_{ij}$$

$$\bar{x} = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^p \bar{x}_i$$

where

x_{ij} is the j th result reported by laboratory i ;

p is the number of participating laboratories;

n_i is the number of results reported by laboratory i ;

\bar{x}_i is the mean for laboratory i ;

\bar{x} is the mean of means.

The confidence limits were obtained by calculation of the variance of the consensus value (mean of means) and reference to Student's- t distribution with degrees of freedom $(p-1)$:

$$\hat{V}(\bar{x}) = \frac{1}{p(p-1)} \sum_{i=1}^p (\bar{x}_i - \bar{x})^2$$

$$\text{Confidence limits} = \bar{x} \pm t_{1-x/2}(p-1) \left(\hat{V}(\bar{x}) \right)^{1/2}$$

where $t_{1-x/2}(p-1)$ is the $1-x/2$ fractile of the t -distribution with $(p-1)$ degrees of freedom.

The distribution of the values is assumed to be symmetrical about the mean in the calculation of the confidence limits.

The test for rejection of individual outliers from each laboratory data set was based on z scores (rejected if $|z_i| > 2.5$) computed from the robust estimators of location and scale, T and S , respectively, according to the formulae

$$S = 1.483 \frac{\text{median}_{j=1 \dots n} / x_j - \text{median}_{i=1 \dots n} (x_i)}{}$$

$$z_i = \frac{x_i - T}{S}$$

where

T is the median value in a data set;

S is the median of all absolute deviations from the sample median multiplied by 1.483, a correction factor to make the estimator consistent with the usual parameter of a normal distribution.

The z-score test is used in combination with a second method of individual outlier detection that determines the percent deviation of the individual value from the median. Outliers in general are selected on the basis of z-scores > 2.5 and with percent deviations > 1.5%. In certain instances statistician's prerogative has been employed in discriminating outliers.

Each laboratory data set is tested for outlying status based on z-score discrimination and rejected if $|z_i| > 2.5$. After individual and entire lab data set outliers have been eliminated a non-iterative 3 standard deviation filter is applied, with those values lying outside this window also relegated to outlying status.

Individual outliers and, more rarely, laboratory means deemed to be outlying are shown left justified and in bold in the tabulated results (Tables 2 to 5) and have been omitted in the determination of certified values.

The magnitude of the confidence interval is inversely proportional to the number of participating laboratories and interlaboratory agreement. It is a measure of the reliability of the certified value, i.e. the narrower the confidence interval the greater the certainty in the certified value (Table 6).

Table 6. Certified Value and 95% Confidence Interval

Constituent	Certified Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Low	High
Gold, Au (ppm)	3.89	3.82	3.95
Silver, Ag (ppm)	42.9	42.1	43.7
Copper, Cu (ppm)	392	384	400

Note: intervals may appear asymmetric due to rounding

Statement of Homogeneity

The variability of replicate assays from each laboratory is a result of both measurement and subsampling errors. In the determination of a statistical tolerance interval it is therefore necessary to eliminate, or at least substantially minimise, those errors attributable to measurement. One way of achieving this is by substantially reducing the analytical subsample weight to a point where most of the variability in replicate assays is due to inhomogeneity of the reference material and measurement error becomes negligible. This approach was adopted in the INAA gold data set (Table 3) where a 0.5 gram subsample weight was employed.

The homogeneity was determined from tables of factors for two-sided tolerance limits for normal distributions (ISO Guide 3207) in which

$$\text{Lower limit is } \bar{x} - k'_2(n, p, 1 - \alpha)s$$

$$\text{Upper limit is } \bar{x} + k'_2(n, p, 1 - \alpha)s$$

where

n is the number of results reported by laboratory Q ;

$1 - \alpha$ is the confidence level;

p is the proportion of results expected within the tolerance limits;

k'_2 is the factor for two-sided tolerance limits (m, σ unknown);

and s is computed according to the formula

$$s = \left[\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n (x_j - \bar{x})^2}{n - 1} \right]^{1/2}$$

No individual outliers were removed from the results prior to the calculation of tolerance intervals.

Table 7. Certified Value and Tolerance Interval.

Constituent	Certified Value	Tolerance Interval 1- α =0.99, ρ =0.95	
		Low	High
Gold, Au (ppm)	3.89	3.87	3.91
Silver, Ag (ppm)	42.9	41.7	44.1
Copper, Cu (ppm)	392	383	400

Note: intervals may appear asymmetric due to rounding

From the INAA data set an estimated tolerance interval of ± 0.021 ppm at an analytical subsample weight of 30 gram was obtained (using the sampling constant relationship of Ingamells and Switzer, 1973) and is considered to reflect the actual homogeneity of the material under test. The meaning of this tolerance interval may be illustrated for gold (refer Table 7), where 99% of the time at least 95% of 30g-sized subsamples will have concentrations lying between 3.87 and 3.91 ppm. Put more precisely, this means that if the same number of subsamples were taken and analysed in the same manner repeatedly, 99% of the tolerance intervals so constructed would cover at least 95% of the total population, and 1% of the tolerance intervals would cover less than 95% of the total population (ISO Guide 35).

A different approach was used in estimating tolerance for silver and copper. The standard deviation of the pooled individual analyses of all participating laboratories includes error due to the imprecision of each analytical method, to possible inhomogeneity of the material under test and, in particular, to deficiencies in accuracy of each analytical method. In determining tolerance intervals for silver and copper that component of error attributable to measurement inaccuracy was eliminated by transformation of the individual results of each data set to a common mean (the uncorrected grand mean) according to the formula

$$x'_{ij} = x_{ij} - \bar{x}_i + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} x_{ij}}{\sum_{i=1}^p n_i}$$

where

- x_{ij} is the j th raw result reported by laboratory i ;
- x'_{ij} is the j th transformed result reported by laboratory i ;
- n_i is the number of results reported by laboratory i ;
- p is the number of participating laboratories;
- \bar{x}_i is the raw mean for laboratory i .

The homogeneity of each constituent was determined from tables of factors for two-sided tolerance limits for normal distributions (ISO 3207) in which

$$\text{Lower limit is } \bar{x} - k'_2(n, p, 1 - \alpha) s_g''$$

$$\text{Upper limit is } \bar{x} + k'_2(n, p, 1 - \alpha) s_g''$$

where

- n the number of results
- $1 - \alpha$ is the confidence level;
- p is the proportion of results expected within tolerance limits;
- k'_2 is the factor for two-sided tolerance limits (m, α unknown);
- s_g'' is the corrected grand standard deviation.

The corrected grand standard deviation, s_g'' , used to compute the tolerance intervals is the weighted means of standard deviations of all data sets for a particular constituent according to the formula

$$s_g'' = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^p (s_i (1 - \frac{s_i}{s'_g}))}{\sum_{i=1}^p (1 - \frac{s_i}{s'_g})}$$

where

$$1 - (\frac{s_i}{2s'_g}) \text{ is the weighting factor for laboratory } i ;$$

s'_g is the grand standard deviation computed from the transformed (i.e. means-adjusted) results.

according to the formula

$$s'_g = \left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (x'_{ij} - \bar{x}'_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^p n_i - 1} \right]^{1/2}$$

where \bar{x}'_i is the transformed mean for laboratory i

The weighting factors were applied to compensate for the considerable variation in analytical precision amongst participating laboratories. Hence, weighting factors for each data set have been constructed so as to be inversely proportional to the standard deviation of that data set. Outliers (shown in bold in Tables 4 and 5) were removed prior to the calculation of tolerance intervals and a weighting factor of zero was applied to those data sets where $s_i / 2s_g' > 1$ (i.e. where the weighting factor $1 - s_i / 2s_g' < 0$). It should be noted that estimates of tolerance by this method are considered conservative as a significant proportion of the observed variance, even in those laboratories exhibiting the best analytical precision, can presumably be attributed to measurement error.

ANOVA Study

The sampling format for OREAS 68a was structured to enable nested ANOVA treatment of the round robin results. During the bagging stage, immediately following final homogenization, twenty 1kg samples were taken at regular intervals representative of the entire batch of OREAS 68a. Each laboratory received paired samples from three different, non-adjacent 1kg samples. For example, the six samples that any one of the nineteen participating labs could have received are:

- Sample 1 (from sampling interval 4)
- Sample 2 (from sampling interval 11)
- Sample 3 (from sampling interval 17)
- Sample 4 (from sampling interval 4)
- Sample 5 (from sampling interval 11)
- Sample 6 (from sampling interval 17)

The purpose of the ANOVA investigation was to compare the within-unit variance with that of the between-unit variance. This approach permitted an assessment of homogeneity across the entire batch of OREAS 68a. The test was performed using the following parameters:

- Significance Level $\alpha = P$ (type I error) = 0.05
- Null Hypothesis, H_0 : Between-unit variance is no greater than within-unit variance (reject H_0 if p-value < 0.05)
- Alternative Hypothesis, H_1 : Between-unit variance is greater than within-unit variance

P-values are a measure of probability whereby values less than 0.05 indicate a greater than 95% probability that the observed differences in within-unit and between-unit variances are real. The dataset was filtered for both individual and laboratory outliers prior to the calculation of the p-value. This process derived p-values of 0.78 for gold, 1.00 for silver and 1.00 for copper and indicates no evidence that between-unit variance is greater than within-unit variance. Conclusion: do not reject H_0 . Note that ANOVA is not an absolute measure of homogeneity. Rather, it establishes that the metals are distributed in a similar manner throughout OREAS 68a and that the variance between two subsamples from the same unit is statistically indistinguishable to the variance from two subsamples taken from any two separate units.

Performance Gates

Performance gates provide an indication of a level of performance that might reasonably be expected from a laboratory being monitored by this CRM in a QA/QC program. They take into account errors attributable to measurement and CRM variability. For an effective CRM the contribution of the latter should be negligible in comparison to measurement errors. Sources of measurement error include inter-lab bias and analytical precision (repeatability). Two methods have been employed to calculate performance gates. The first method uses the same filtered data set used to determine the certified value, i.e. after removal of all individual,

lab dataset (batch) and 3SD outliers. These outliers can only be removed after the absolute homogeneity of the CRM has been independently established, i.e. the outliers must be confidently deemed to be analytical rather than arising from inhomogeneity of the CRM. The standard deviation is then calculated for each analyte from the pooled individual analyses generated from the certification program. Table 8 shows performance gates calculated for two and three standard deviations. As a guide these intervals may be regarded as warning or rejection for multiple 2SD outliers, or rejection for individual 3SD outliers in QC monitoring, although their precise application should be at the discretion of the QC manager concerned. A second method utilises a 5% window calculated directly from the certified value. Standard deviation is also shown in relative percent for one, two and three relative standard deviations (1RSD, 2RSD and 3RSD) to facilitate an appreciation of the magnitude of these numbers and a comparison with the 5% window. Caution should be exercised when concentration levels approach lower limits of detection of the analytical methods employed as performance gates calculated from standard deviations tend to be excessively wide whereas those determined by the 5% method are too narrow.

Table 8. Performance Gates for OREAS 68a

Constituent	Certified Value	Absolute Standard Deviations					Relative Standard Deviations			5% window	
		1SD	2SD Low	2SD High	3SD Low	3SD High	1RSD	2RSD	3RSD	Low	High
Au (ppm)	3.89	0.15	3.60	4.18	3.45	4.33	3.75%	7.50%	11.2%	3.69	4.08
Ag (ppm)	42.9	1.7	39.6	46.2	37.9	47.9	3.87%	7.74%	11.6%	40.8	45.1
Cu (ppm)	392	15	362	422	347	437	3.83%	7.66%	11.5%	372	411

Note: intervals may appear asymmetric due to rounding

PARTICIPATING LABORATORIES

Accurassay Laboratories, Thunder Bay, ON, Canada
 Acme Analytical Laboratories, Vancouver, BC, Canada
 Activation Laboratories, Ancaster, ON, Canada
 Amdel Laboratories, Adelaide, SA, Australia
 Alaska Assay Laboratories, Fairbanks, AK, United States of America
 ALS Chemex, Townsville, QLD, Australia
 ALS Chemex, La Serena, Chile, South America
 ALS Chemex, Sparks, Nevada, USA
 ALS Chemex, Val-d'or, Quebec, Canada
 ALS Chemex, Vancouver, BC, Canada
 Genalysis Laboratory Services, Perth, WA, Australia
 Intertek Testing Services, Jakarta, Indonesia
 McPhar Laboratories, Legaspi Village, Makati City, Philippines
 OMAC Laboratories, Loughrea, County Galway, Ireland
 SGS Lakefield Research, Lakefield, ON, Canada
 SGS, Townsville, QLD, Australia
 SGS Australia, Perth, WA, Australia
 Ultra Trace, Perth, WA, Australia
 Zarazma Mineral Studies Company, Tehran, Iran

PREPARER AND SUPPLIER OF THE REFERENCE MATERIAL

Gold-silver-copper ore reference material OREAS 68a has been prepared and certified, and is supplied by:

Ore Research & Exploration Pty Ltd
6-8 Gatwick Road
Bayswater North, VIC 3153
AUSTRALIA

Telephone (03) 9729 0333 International +613-9729 0333
Facsimile (03) 9729 4777 International +613-9729 4777

It is available in unit sizes of 60g foil packets and 1kg plastic jars.

INTENDED USE

OREAS 68a is a reference material intended for the following:

- i) for the monitoring of laboratory performance in the analysis of gold, silver and copper in geological samples;
- ii) for the calibration of instruments used in the determination of the concentration of gold, silver and copper;
- iii) for the verification of analytical methods for gold, silver and copper;
- iv) for the preparation of secondary reference materials of similar composition.

STABILITY AND STORAGE INSTRUCTIONS

OREAS 68a has been prepared from epithermal high sulphidation Au-Ag-Cu ore blended with barren basalt. The robust foil laminate film used in the 60g unit packaging is an effective barrier to oxygen and moisture and the sealed CRM is considered to have long-term stability under normal storage conditions.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE CORRECT USE OF THE REFERENCE MATERIAL

The certified values for OREAS 68a refer to the concentration level of gold, silver and copper in their packaged state. Therefore it should not be dried prior to weighing and analysis.

LEGAL NOTICE

Ore Research & Exploration Pty Ltd has prepared and statistically evaluated the property values of this reference material to the best of its ability. The Purchaser by receipt hereof releases and indemnifies Ore Research & Exploration Pty Ltd from and against all liability and costs arising from the use of this material and information.

CERTIFYING OFFICER

Craig Hamlyn (B.Sc. Hons.), Geology

REFERENCES

Ingamells, C. O. and Switzer, P. (1973), *Talanta* 20, 547-568.

ISO Guide 3207 (1975), Statistical interpretation of data - Determination of a statistical tolerance interval.

ISO Guide 35 (1985), Certification of reference materials - General and statistical principals.

ISO Guide 35 (2006), Reference materials- General and statistical principals for certification.